

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1 DOUG LITTLE 2 Chairman 3 **BOB STUMP** Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioner DOCKETED **BOB BURNS** 4 Commissioner SEP 1 9 2016 TOM FORESE 5 Commissioner DOCKETED BY ANDY TOBIN 6 Commissioner 7 DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0131 8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE DECISION NO. ____**75751**___ 9 COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS ELECTRIC SERVICE CONTRACT WITH **ORDER** 10 ALIGNED DATA CENTERS, LLC. 11 Open Meeting 12 September 7 and 8, 2016 Phoenix, Arizona 13 BY THE COMMISSION: 14 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 15 Arizona Public Service Company ("APS" or "Company") is certificated to provide electric 16 1. service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona. 17 **Background** 18 19 2. On April 19, 2016, APS filed to request the Commission's approval of its experimental high load factor ("HLF-3") pricing structure contract with Aligned Data Centers, LLC ("Aligned"). The 20 21 contract covers only the Aligned location at 2500 West Union Hills Avenue in Phoenix. Aligned is currently being served by APS at only this location. 22 Aligned is a new APS customer and is constructing a data center in North Phoenix. 3. 23 24 Aligned anticipates being a very high load factor customer, similar to two other large data centers in the APS service territory with special contracts approved by the Commission. 25

Under the terms of the electric service agreement ("ESA") addressed in this application,

in order to achieve commercial operation, Aligned must meet a specified threshold requirement and

monthly load factor. Once this threshold is achieved, Aligned would be served under the HLF-3 Pricing

4.

26

27

28

Structure, if this rate is approved by the Commission. As a new business, the timing for when Aligned meets the threshold is uncertain.

- 5. Aligned is currently served under the E-32 S rate schedule, for a small pump, and the E-32 M rate schedule, for the building where construction is taking place. When Aligned enters its Initial Start-Up Period, estimated at early 2017, it will be served under the E-32 L rate schedule and may also be served under the E-34 or E-35 rate schedules during periods when it does not meet the requirements set in the contract. Ramp up to a load factor consistently exceeding 90 percent could take approximately nine to 18 months, meaning that Aligned will possibly begin taking service under the HLF-3 rate by the Fall of 2018.
 - 6. APS has sufficient generation capacity and reserves to serve Aligned. The marginal cost to serve Aligned arises primarily from the Company's cost of fuel, and the rates in the ESA will not only cover the cost to serve Aligned, but exceed the Company's marginal cost. In addition, the proposed HLF-3 rate includes all current applicable adjustors for the Company's extra-large general service customers.
 - 7. In response to a data request, APS indicated that lower rates for High Load Factor customers will shift some costs to other extra-large customers in a new rate case, but that such a shift is cost-based. "The high load factor pricing schedules and the Extra-High Load Factor rate schedule are cost based rates which create a new subclass within the Extra-Large customer group. This sub-grouping creates a more precise cost allocation to develop the cost to serve high load factor customers. While this process necessarily result in other extra-large customers paying slightly more, it is a more accurate reflection of the cost to serve these other extra-large customers that do not have a very high load factor."

Extra High Load Factor Tariff

8. Aligned has the right to terminate the ESA in order to take service under a generally available rate, such as the recently filed Extra High Load Factor ("EHLF") rate (included in APS rate application E-01345A-16-0036). Termination would require 30 days' written notice.

Microgrid Project

9. To enhance reliability, Aligned has backup generation in a microgrid configuration. APS is sharing costs with Aligned to develop a 62.5 MW diesel microgrid project with a new 69 kW enhanced

Decision No. 75751

2 A

Analysis and Recommendation

19 Fair Value Implications

capability substation. APS has indicated that this microgrid project will not only provide backup for Aligned, but can be used as a cost-effective system capacity resource for the benefit of all APS customers.

10. System Benefits and Decision No. 73183 (Economic Opportunities). Staff has recommended that the ESA with Aligned be approved. The experimental high load factor rate is reasonable for Aligned because it represents the appropriate rate for a very high load factor customer. Very high load factor customers make more efficient use of existing infrastructure, rather than creating a demand for more generation, and thereby help to reduce the overall cost of serving customers. In addition, the ESA proposed in the application would be consistent with the provisions of Decision No. 73183, which authorized APS to pursue economic opportunities through the use of Commission-approved contracts.

11. Other Benefits. Aligned currently has five employees at the data center, but anticipates having an additional 15 by the end of 2016. Aligned also has four dozen contractors in place at the present time, including employees involved in engineering, construction, operations and security. Aligned will also contribute additional sales and property taxes. In addition, APS believes that Aligned has the potential to increase its business in the Company's territory and that additional locations are possible, since Aligned is a 'build to suit' data center provider to global corporate clients.

12. Staff has also analyzed this application in terms of whether there were fair value implications. In Decision No. 73183, issued on May 24, 2012, the Commission determined the fair value of the APS jurisdictional rate base to be \$8,167,126,000. That determination is appropriate for purposes of this analysis. Compared to APS's total revenues, any revenue impact from this agreement would be *de minimus*, and any impact on APS's fair value rate base and rate of return would also be *de minimus*.

Impact of Special Contracts

13. APS utilized a cost based approach to the pricing structure proposed in its application. The proposed change in price only affects revenues to APS. Also, Staff has recommended that the

Decision No.	75751

Decision No. 75751

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that approval of the agreement at this time does not guarantee any future ratemaking treatment of the agreement with Aligned Data Centers, LLC. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall take effect immediately. THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION COMMISSIONER STUMP COMMISSIONER FORESE IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, IODÍ IERÍCH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DISSENT: DISSENT: _ TMB:jmk:nr/WVC

Decision No. 75751

Docket No. E-01345A-16-0131 Page 6 SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona Public Service Company 1 Docket No. E-01345A-16-0131 2 3 Melissa Kreuger PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION 4 400 N. Fifth St MS 8695 5 Phoenix Arizona 85004 6 Janice Alward 7 Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 8 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix Arizona 85007 9 Thomas M. Broderick 10 Director, Utilities Division 11 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 12 Phoenix Arizona 85007 13 Dwight Nodes Chief Administrative Law Judge, 14 Hearing Division 15 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 16 Phoenix Arizona 85007-2927 Hearing Division@azcc.gov 17 Consented to Service by Email 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Decision No. _____**75751**